DECISION

Dispute Codes: MNR, OPR

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for an order of possession and a monetary order.

On July 31, 2003, the landlord collected a security deposit from the tenants in the amount of \$600.00. The tenancy began on August 10, 2003. Rent in the amount of \$1200.00 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. The tenants failed to pay rent in the months of January and on January 27, the landlord served the tenants with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenants further failed to pay rent in the months of February, March and April.

Based on the landlord's testimony, I find that the tenants were served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenants have not paid the outstanding rent and have not applied for dispute resolution to dispute the notice and are therefore conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice. Based on the above facts, I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession. The tenants must be served with the order of possession. Should the tenants fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

The landlord is seeking to recover from the tenants outstanding rent for the month of January in the amount of \$1200.00. The tenants are currently still living in the rental unit. The landlord is therefore seeking to include a claim for loss of income for the months of February, March and April in the amount of \$3600.00. I find that the tenants should reasonably have known that the landlord could not re-rent the unit while they were still in residence and I allow the claim for a further \$3600.00.

As for the monetary order, I find that the landlord has established a claim for \$1200.00 in unpaid rent and \$3600.00 in loss of income. I grant the landlord an order under section 67 for the balance due of \$4800.00. This order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

Dated April 14, 2009.