



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

## DECISION

Dispute Codes      OPR MNR MNSD FF

### Introduction

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 74(2)(b) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession, a monetary order and an order to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on March 31, 2009 the landlord served the tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding, via registered mail, as supported by the documentary evidence supplied by the landlord.

The landlord received the Direct Request Proceeding package on March 30, 2009 and initiated service on March 31, 2009.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find the tenant has been duly served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents for the purposes of an application under section 55, for an Order of Possession and section 67 for a Monetary Order.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent; to a monetary Order for unpaid rent; to keep all or part of the security deposit; and to recover the filing fee from the tenant for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to sections 38, 55, 67, and 72 of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*. I have reviewed all documentary evidence submitted by the landlord.

## Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for tenant Breann Christina Hartling
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on July 21, 2005, indicating \$695.00 per month rent due on the first of the month, a deposit of \$347.50 was paid on July 21, 2005
- A copy of a Notice of Rent Increase which indicates the rent was increased to \$710.00 effective February 1, 2006. Based on the landlord's application the rent for March 2009 was \$728.00
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on March 11, 2009 with an effective vacancy date of March 24, 2009 for \$728.00 in unpaid rent

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the tenant was served a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by posting it on the tenant's door on March 11, 2009 at 8:00 a.m. The notice states that the tenant had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days. I accept that the tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy effective on March 24, 2009, 10 days after service was effected on March 14, 2009 (three days after it is posted on the door).

## Analysis



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 3

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

**Order of Possession** - Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed, under section 46(5) of the Act, to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

**Monetary Order** – I find that the landlord is entitled to a monetary claim, that this claim meets the criteria under section 72(2)(b) of the Act to be offset against the tenant's security deposit, and that the landlord is entitled to recover the filing fee from the tenant as follows:

Unpaid Rent for March 2009	\$728.00
Filing fee	50.00
Sub total (Monetary Order in favor of the landlord)	<b>\$778.00</b>
Less Security Deposit of \$347.50 plus interest of \$12.31	- 359.81
<b>TOTAL OFF-SET AMOUNT DUE TO THE LANDLORD</b>	<b>\$ 418.19</b>

## Conclusion

I HEREBY FIND that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service on the tenant**. This order must be served on the Respondent and may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I HEREBY FIND in favor of the landlord's monetary claim. A copy of the landlord's decision will be accompanied by a Monetary Order for \$418.19. The order must be served on the respondent and is enforceable through the Provincial Court and enforced as an order of that Court. This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: April 06, 2009.

---

Dispute Resolution Officer