DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR MNR MNSD FF

Introduction

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 74(2)(b) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the Act).

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the Landlords are entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent; to a monetary Order for unpaid rent; to keep all or part of the security deposit; and to recover the filing fee from the Tenants for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to Sections 38, 55, 67, and 72 of the Act. I have reviewed all documentary evidence submitted by the Landlords.

Background and Evidence

The Landlords submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on October 31, 2008, indicating \$650.00 per month rent due on the first of the month. The Tenants paid a security deposit of \$325.00 to the Landlords on October 19, 2008.
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on May 2, 2009, with an effective vacancy date of May 12, 2009 for \$375.00 in unpaid rent.
- A copy of the Proof of Service of the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent or Utilities;
- A copy of the Landlords' Application for Dispute Resolution, filed May 12, 2009; and

• A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for each Tenant.

The Landlords submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which does not disclose the date that the Tenants were served with the documents.

<u>Analysis</u>

The Landlords did not prove service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding upon the Tenants. The Landlord's application is therefore dismissed with leave to re-apply.

Conclusion

The Landlords' application is dismissed with leave to re-apply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 20, 2009.