

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR MNR FF

Introduction

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 74(2)(b) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession, a Monetary Order for unpaid rent, a move out fee, and to recover the cost of the filing fee for this application from the tenant.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on June 15, 2009 the landlord served the tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding, served to the tenant via Registered Mail. The tenant is deemed to have received the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding on June 20, 2009, 5 days after it was mailed, pursuant to Section 90 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

The landlord received the Direct Request Proceeding package on June 15, 2009 and initiated service on June 15, 2009.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find the tenant has been duly served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents for the purposes of an application under section 55, for an Order of Possession and section 67 for a Monetary Order.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent; to a monetary Order for unpaid rent and move out fees; and to recover the filing fee from the tenant for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to sections 55, 67, and 72 of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*. I have reviewed all documentary evidence submitted by the landlord.

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the tenant
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on April 10, 2009, indicating \$1,950.00 per month rent due on the first of the month, a security deposit of \$975.00 and a pet deposit of \$975.00 were paid on April 10, 2009.
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on June 2, 2009 with an effective vacancy date of June 12, 2009 for \$1,950.00 in unpaid rent and \$180.00 moving fee.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the tenant was served a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by posting it on the tenant's door on June 2, 2009 at 10 a.m. The Notice states that the tenant had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not pay the rent or apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days. I accept that the tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy effective on June 15, 2009, 10 days after service was effected on June 5, 2009 (three days after it is posted on the door).

Analysis

Order of Possession - Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed, under section 46(5) of the Act, to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

Monetary Order – I find that the landlord is entitled to a monetary claim for unpaid rent, that this claim meets the criteria under section 72(2)(b) of the Act to be offset against the tenant's security deposit, and that the landlord is entitled to recover the filing fee from the tenant as follows:

Unpaid Rent June 2009	\$1,950.00
Filing fee	50.00
Sub total (Monetary Order in favor of the landlord)	\$2,000.00
Less Security & Pet Deposits of \$975.00 x 2 plus interest of \$0.00	-1,950.00
TOTAL OFF-SET AMOUNT DUE TO THE LANDLORD	\$50.00

The landlord has requested a monetary claim for \$180.00 as move out fees and has failed to provide evidence in support of this claim. Based on the foregoing I dismiss the landlord's claim for \$180.00 as move out fees, without leave to reapply.

Conclusion

I HEREBY FIND that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service on the tenant**. This order must be served on the Respondent and may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I HEREBY FIND in favor of the landlord's monetary claim. A copy of the landlord's decision will be accompanied by a Monetary Order for \$50.00. The order must be served on the respondent and is enforceable through the Provincial Court as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 22, 2009.

Dispute Resolution Officer