



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

## DECISION

### Dispute Codes

OPR, MNR, FF

### Introduction

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to sections 55(4) and 74(2) of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession and a monetary order.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on May 21, 2009 the Landlord served the Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by registered mail. The Landlord submitted a copy of a Canada Post Receipt, with a tracking number, which indicates that the Landlord mailed a package to the rental unit.

The Landlord received the Direct Request Proceeding package on May 21, 2009 and initiated service that day. Section 90 of the Residential Tenancy Act determines that a document served by mail is deemed to have been served on the fifth day after it is mailed, which in these circumstances is May 26, 2009.

Based on the written submissions of the Landlord, I find the Tenant has been served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent; to a monetary Order for unpaid rent; and to recover the filing fee from the Tenant for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to sections 55, 67, and 72 of the *Act*.

### Background and Evidence

I have reviewed the following evidence that was submitted by the Landlord:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the tenant.
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement between the Landlord and the Tenant, which indicates that the tenancy began on May 01, 2009; that the Tenant was

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

required to pay rent of \$640.00; and that the Tenant paid a security deposit of \$310.00 plus \$20.00 towards the rent for May on April 25, 2009.

- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent that was signed on May 06, 2009, which states that the Tenant must vacate the rental unit by May 20, 2009 as they have failed to pay rent in the amount of \$620.00. that was due on May 01, 2009. The Notice states that the tenancy will end unless the Tenant pays the rent or submits an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking to set aside the Notice within five days of receiving the Notice.
- A copy of the Certificate of Service of the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy that indicates that the Landlord posted the Notice on the front door of the rental unit on May 07, 2009 at 1400 hours.

In the Application to Review the Landlord stated the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent was posted on the front door of the rental unit on May 07, 2009.

In the Application to Review, the Landlord stated that the Tenant owes \$620.00, in rent from May of 2009.

## Analysis

Based on the evidence provided by the Landlord, I find that a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy was posted on the front door of the rental unit on May 07, 2009.

In the absence of evidence to the contrary, I find that the Tenant paid \$20.00 towards his rent for May of 2009, but had not, by the time the Landlord filed this Application for Dispute Resolution, paid the remaining rent that was due on May 01, 2009, which is \$620.00. I have no evidence to show that the Tenant paid the outstanding rent since the Landlord filed the Application for Dispute Resolution, and therefore I find that the Tenant owes rent in the amount of \$620.00.

I have no evidence to show that the Tenant filed an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking to set aside the Notice to End Tenancy. Pursuant to section 46(5) of the *Act*, I therefore find that the Tenant has accepted that the tenancy ended ten days after he is deemed to have received the Notice.

## Conclusion

I find that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective two days after service on the Tenant. This Order may be served on the Tenant, filed with the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an Order of that Court.



## Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 3

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

I find that the Landlord has established a monetary claim, in the amount of \$670.00, which is comprised on \$620.00 in unpaid rent from May of 2009, plus \$50.00 in compensation for the filing fee paid by the Landlord for this Application for Dispute Resolution. Based on these determinations I grant the Landlord a monetary Order for the amount of \$670.00. In the event that the Tenant does not comply with this Order, it may be served on the Tenant, filed with the Province of British Columbia Small Claims Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 01, 2009.

---

Dispute Resolution Officer