

DECISION

Dispute Codes: OPR, MNR, MNSD, MNDC, FF

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for an order of possession, a monetary order and an order to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim. Despite having been served with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing in person on June 19, 2009, the tenants did not participate in the conference call hearing.

At the outset of the hearing, the landlord withdrew his application for an order to retain the security deposit as the tenants have not yet moved out. I therefore dismiss the landlord's application in this regard with leave to re-apply.

The tenancy began on January 1, 2008. Rent in the amount of \$850.00 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. The tenants failed to pay rent in the months of April, May and June and on June 12, the landlord served the tenants with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenants further failed to pay rent in the month of July.

Based on the landlord's testimony, I find that the tenants were served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenants have not paid the outstanding rent and have not applied for dispute resolution to dispute the notice and are therefore conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice. Based on the above facts, I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession. The tenants must be served with the order of possession. Should the tenants fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

The landlord is seeking to recover from the tenants outstanding rent of \$77.00 for April, \$850.00 for May and \$850.00 for June. The tenants are currently still living in the rental unit. The landlord is therefore seeking to include a claim for loss of income for the month of July in the amount of \$850.00. I find that the tenants should reasonably have

known that the landlord could not re-rent the unit while they were still in residence and I allow the claim for a further \$850.00.

As for the monetary order, I find that the landlord has established a claim for \$1777.00 in unpaid rent and \$850.00 in loss of income. The landlord is also entitled to recovery of the \$50.00 filing fee. I grant the landlord an order under section 67 for the balance due of \$2677.00. This order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

Dated July 28, 2009.