



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, MNSD, FF

Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession and a monetary order due to unpaid rent.

The landlords submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on August 22, 2009 the landlords served tenant A with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding in person and on August 21, 2009 the landlords served tenant B with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail. Section 90 of the Residential Tenancy Act determines that a document is deemed to have been served on the fifth day after it was sent via registered mail.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenants have been served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlords are entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent; to a monetary Order for unpaid rent; to keep all or part of the security deposit; and to recover the filing fee from the tenants for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to sections 38, 46, 55, 67, and 72 of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*.

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for each tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on July 24, 2009 for a month to month tenancy beginning August 1, 2009 for the monthly rent of \$1500.00 due on 1st of the month and a security deposit of \$750.00 was paid on August 1, 2009; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on August 13, 2009 with an effective vacancy date of August 23, 2009 due to \$1500.00 in unpaid rent.



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 2

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

Documentary evidence filed by the landlords indicates that the tenants had failed to pay the rent owed for the month of August 2009 and that the tenants were served a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent when with tenant A on August 13, 2009 at 11:30 a.m.

The Notice states that the tenants had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenants did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenants have been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlords. The notice is deemed to have been received by the tenants on August 13, 2009, and the effective date of the notice is August 23, 2009. I accept the evidence before me that the tenants have failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the Act.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenants have conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

Conclusion

I find that the landlords are entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service on the tenants**. This order must be served on the tenants and may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I find that the landlords are entitled to monetary compensation pursuant section 67 in the amount of **\$1550.00** comprised of \$1500.00 rent owed and the \$50.00 fee paid by the landlords for this application. I order that the landlords may retain the deposit and interest held of \$750.00 in partial satisfaction of the claim and grant an order for the balance due of **\$800.00**. This order must be served on the tenant and may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: August 28, 2009.

Dispute Resolution Officer