DECISION AND REASONS

Dispute Codes: CNR OP MN SD FF

1. This hearing dealt with (a) an application by the tenant for an order setting aside the landlord's Notice to End Tenancy; and (b) an application by the landlord for an order of possession, a monetary order and an order allowing the landlord to retain all or part of the security deposit. Both parties attended the hearing and had an opportunity to be heard.

- 2. The facts before me are as follows. This tenancy began approximately one year ago. The rent is \$750.00 due in advance on the first day of each month. The tenant has not paid the rent for July, August or September and a portion of June's rent is still in arrears. Ms. A testified that the tenant was served with a ten-day Notice to End Tenancy but was unable to testify with certainty as to the date of service. For her part, Ms. Y testified that she was never served with a ten-day Notice but rather that she was served with a one-month Notice and another notice on a form from Ontario. Ms. Y did not submit copies of the notices she claims to have received. In fact, the only piece of evidence submitted by either party was page 1 of the ten-day Notice which was submitted by the landlord.
- 3. Given that the landlord bears the burden of proof in this case where a Notice to End Tenancy has been disputed, I find, based on the evidence before me, that the landlord has failed to meet that burden. I am not satisfied that the tenant was ever properly served with a ten-day Notice to End Tenancy. I therefore allow the tenant's claim and set aside the ten-day Notice that the landlord claims to have served. As a result, the tenancy shall continue in accordance with its original terms.

Dated September 03, 2009.