



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes - OPR, MNR, MNSD, FF

### Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession and a monetary order due to unpaid rent.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on September 28, 2009 the landlord served each tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail. Section 90 of the Residential Tenancy Act determines that a document is deemed to have been served on the fifth day after it was sent.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenants have been served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent; to a monetary Order for unpaid rent; for unpaid utilities; and to recover the filing fee from the tenants for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to sections 38, 46, 55, 67, and 72 of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*.

### Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for each tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on October 3, 2005 for a month to month tenancy beginning November 1, 2005 for the monthly rent of \$1285.00 due on the last of the month and a security deposit of \$650.00 was paid on October 3, 2005; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on September 17, 2009 with an effective vacancy date of 30, 2009 due to \$1430.00 in unpaid rent.
- City of Chilliwack Utility bill for dispute address dated August 10, 2009 in the amount of \$413.06.



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 2

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the tenants had failed to pay the full rent owed for the month of September 2009 and that the tenants were served a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent when it was posted on the door of the tenants' rental unit on September 17, 2009 at 7:32 p.m. The landlord provided documentation confirming that the service was witnessed by a third party.

The Notice states that the tenant had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days.

## Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenants have been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord. The notice is deemed to have been received by the tenant on September 20, 2009, and the effective date of the notice is September 30, 2009. I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

The landlord has requested a monetary order for utilities, however, has not submitted any documentation showing a demand for payment to the tenants or confirmation of a 30 day period for the tenants to pay the utilities as required in Section 46 (6) (b).

## Conclusion

I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service on the tenant**. This order must be served on the tenant and may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I find that the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation pursuant section 67 and hereby issue an order in the amount of **\$1480.00** comprised of \$1430.00 rent owed and the \$50.00 fee paid by the Landlord for this application. This order must be served on the tenant and may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 05, 2009.

---

Dispute Resolution Officer