

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

DECISION

Dispute Codes

OPR, MNR, MNSD, FF

<u>Introduction</u>

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession, a monetary order and an order to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on October 19, 2009 at 3:40 pm the landlord served each tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail. The landlord provided a Canada Post receipts and tracking numbers as evidence of service. Section 90 of the Act determines that a document is deemed to have been served on the fifth day after mailing; October 24, 2009.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenants have been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents on .

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent; to a monetary Order for unpaid rent; to keep all or part of the security deposit; and to recover the filing fee from the tenants for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to sections 38, 55, 67, and 72 of the Act.

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for each tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on June 27, 2009, indicating a monthly rent of \$1,445.00 due on or before the first day of the month and that a deposit of \$700.00 was paid on June 28, 2009; and



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 2

Residential Tenancy Branch Ministry of Housing and Social Development

 A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on October 5, 2009 with a stated effective vacancy date of October 18, 2009, for \$970.00 in unpaid rent due on October 1, 2009.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the tenant's have failed to pay rent owed and was served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by posting on the door on October 5, 2009. The Act deems the tenants were served on October 10, 2009.

The Notice states that the tenants had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant's did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days from the date of service.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenants have been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord.

The notice is deemed to have been received by the tenants on October 10, 2009.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenants failed to pay the rent owed in full with in the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice, October 18, 2009.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of possession, a monetary Order for unpaid rent, and the application fee cost.

Conclusion

I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service** on the tenants and the Order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I find that the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation pursuant section 67 in the amount of **\$1,020.00** comprised of \$970.00 rent owed and the \$50.00 fee paid for this application.

I order that the landlord may retain the deposit and interest held of \$700.00 in partial satisfaction of the claim and grant an Order for the balance due of **\$320.00**. This Order



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 3

Residential Tenancy Branch Ministry of Housing and Social Development

must be served on the tenants and may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 30, 2009.	
	Dispute Resolution Officer