



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, FF

Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession and a monetary order due to unpaid rent.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on October 14, 2009 the landlord served the tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail. Section 90 of the Residential Tenancy Act determines that a document is deemed to have been served on the fifth day after it was sent.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent; to a monetary Order for unpaid rent; and to recover the filing fee from the tenant for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to sections, 46, 55, 67, and 72 of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*.

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the tenant;

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on May 07, 2007 for a fixed term tenancy for six months beginning May 01, 2007, reverting to a month to month tenancy for the monthly rent of \$1000.00 due on 1st of the month.
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on October 02, 2009 with an effective vacancy date of October 12, 2009 due to \$1100.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the tenant had failed to pay the rent owed for the month of October, 2009 and that the tenant was served a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent when it was posted on the door of the tenant's rental unit on October 02, 2009 at 08:00 p.m.

The Notice states that the tenant had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord. The notice is deemed to have been received by the tenant on October 05, 2009, and the effective date of the notice is amended to October 15, 2009 pursuant to section 53 of the *Act*. I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 3

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

The tenancy agreement indicates rent is \$1,000.00 per month whereas the landlord's application indicates rent payable is \$1,100.00 for October. Where rent has been legally increased since the tenancy commenced it is necessary for the landlord to provide evidence of such rent increases to substantiate rent owing is greater than that indicated in the tenancy agreement. Therefore, the landlord is at liberty to reapply for the additional amount of \$100.00 if he can provided evidence of a rent increase.

Conclusion

I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service on the tenant**. This order must be served on the tenant and may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I find that the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation pursuant to section 67 in the amount of **\$1,050.00** comprised of \$1,000.00 rent owed and the \$50.00 fee paid by the Landlord for this application. I grant an order for the amount due of **\$1,050.00**. This order must be served on the tenant and may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 27, 2009.

Dispute Resolution Officer