

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Ministry of Housing and Social Development

# DECISION

Dispute Codes:

OPR, MNR, MNDC, MNSD, FF

### Introduction

This hearing was scheduled in response to the Landlord's Application for Dispute Resolution, in which the Landlord has made application for an Order of Possession for Unpaid Rent, a monetary Order for unpaid rent, a monetary Order for money owed or compensation for damage or loss, to retain all or part of the security deposit, and to recover the filing fee from the Tenants for the cost of this Application for Dispute Resolution.

The female Agent for the Landlord stated that copies of the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing were sent to the female Tenant via registered mail at the service address noted on the Application, on October 09, 2009. A tracking number was provided. The Canada Post website shows the mail has not yet been delivered to the recipient. These documents are deemed to have been served on the female Tenant in accordance with section 89 of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*, however this Tenant did not appear at the hearing.

The female Agent for the Landlord stated that copies of the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing were sent to the male Tenant via registered mail at the service address noted on the Application, on October 09, 2009. A tracking number was provided. The Canada Post website shows the mail has been returned to the sender. These documents are deemed to have been served on the male Tenant in accordance with section 89 of the *Act*, however this Tenant did not appear at the hearing.

## Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent; to a monetary Order for unpaid rent and for late fees; to keep all or part of the security deposit; and to recover the filing fee from the Tenant for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to sections 38, 55, 67, and 72 of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act).* 

### Background and Evidence

The female Agent for the Landlord stated that this tenancy began on July 04, 2009; that the parties have a fixed term tenancy agreement; that the Tenants are required to pay monthly rent of \$1,100.00 on the first day of each month; and that the Tenants paid a security deposit of \$550.00 on July 04, 2009.

Both Agents for the Landlord stated that they jointly posted a Ten Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent, which had a declared effective date of September 12, 2009, on the door of the rental unit on September 02, 2009. The Notice declared that the Tenants owed \$1,100.00 in rent that was due on September 01, 2009. The Notice indicated that the Tenant is presumed to have accepted that the tenancy is ending and that the Tenant must move out of the rental unit by the date set out in the Notice unless the Tenant pays the outstanding rent or files an Application for Dispute Resolution within five days of the date they are deemed to have received the Notice.

The female Agent for the Landlord stated that the Tenants have not paid any rent for September, October, or November of 2009.

The female Agent for the Landlord stated that the Tenants also owe a late fee of \$25.00 for paying rent late in September, October, and November of 2009. The Landlord submitted a copy of the tenancy agreement, in which the Tenants agreed to pay a late fee of \$25.00 whenever they are late paying rent.

#### <u>Analysis</u>

I find that the Tenants entered into a tenancy agreement with the Landlord that requires the Tenants to pay monthly rent of \$1,100.00 on the first day of each month. Section 26(1) of the *Act* requires tenants to pay rent to their landlord.

Based on the evidence provided by the Landlord and in the absence of evidence to the contrary, I find that the Tenants have not paid any rent for September, October, or November of 2009. As they are required to pay rent pursuant to section 26(1) of the Act, I find that the Tenants must pay \$3,300.00 in outstanding rent to the Landlord.

I find that the Tenants did agree to pay a late payment fee in the tenancy agreement, as is required by section 7 of the Residential Tenancy Regulation. I therefore grant the Landlord's application for \$75.00 in late fees from September, October, and November of 2009.

If rent is not paid when it is due, section 46(1) of the *Act* entitles landlords to end the tenancy within 10 days if appropriate notice is given to the tenant. Based on the evidence provided by the Landlord and in the absence of evidence to the contrary, I find

that a Notice to End Tenancy, served pursuant to section 46 of the *Act*, was posted on the door of the rental unit on September 02, 2009.

Section 90 of the *Act* stipulates that a document that is posted on a door is deemed to be received on the third day after it is posted. I therefore find that the Tenant received the Notice to End Tenancy on September 05, 2009.

Section 46(1) of the *Act* stipulates that a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy is effective ten days after the date that the Tenant receives the Notice. As the Tenant is deemed to have received this Notice on September 05, 2009, I find that the earliest effective date of the Notice is September 15, 2009.

Section 53 of the *Act* stipulates that if the effective date stated in a Notice is earlier that the earliest date permitted under the legislation, the effective date is deemed to be the earliest date that complies with the legislation. Therefore, I find that the effective date of this Notice to End Tenancy was September 15, 2009.

Section 46(4) of the *Act* stipulates that a tenant has five (5) days from the date of receiving the Notice to End Tenancy to either pay the outstanding rent or to file an Application for Dispute Resolution to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy. In the circumstances before me I have no evidence that the Tenants exercised either of these rights and, pursuant to section 46(5) of the Act, I find that the Tenant s accepted that the tenancy has ended on September 15, 2009. I therefore find the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession.

I find that the Landlord's application has merit, and I find that the Landlord is entitled to recover the filing fee from the Tenants for the cost of this Application for Dispute Resolution.

#### **Conclusion**

The Landlord is hereby granted an Order of Possession that is effective two days after it is served upon the Tenant. This Order may be served on the Tenant, filed with the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I find that the Landlord has established a monetary claim, in the amount of \$3,425.00, which is comprised of \$3,300.00 in unpaid rent, \$75.00 in late fees, and \$50.00 in compensation for the filing fee paid by the Landlord for this Application for Dispute Resolution. The Landlord will be retaining the Tenant's security deposit, in the amount of \$900.00, in partial satisfaction of the monetary claim, pursuant to section 72(2) of the *Act.* 

Based on these determinations I grant the Landlord a monetary Order for the balance of \$2,525.00. In the event that the Tenant does not comply with this Order, it may be

served on the Tenant, filed with the Province of British Columbia Small Claims Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 19, 2009.

**Dispute Resolution Officer**