

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      OPR MNR MNSD FF

### Introduction

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession, a Monetary Order, an Order to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim, and to recover the cost of the filing fee from the Tenant for this application.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on November 25, 2009 the Landlord served the Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding in person at the rental unit. Based on the written submissions of the Landlord, I find that the Tenant has been served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent; to a Monetary Order for unpaid rent; to keep all or part of the security deposit; and to recover the filing fee from the Tenant for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to sections 38, 46, 55, 67, and 72 of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*.

### Background and Evidence

The Landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the Tenant;

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by all parties on February 18, 2009 for a fixed term tenancy beginning March 1, 2009 and switching over to a month to month tenancy after September 30, 2010 (Note the tenancy agreement shows September 30, 2000 as the end of the fixed term, which is automatically corrected to the proper year) for the monthly rent of \$945.00 due on 1st of the month and a deposit of \$472.50 was paid on or before February 18, 2009; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on, November 5, 2009 with an effective vacancy date of November 15, 2009 due to \$1,890.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the Landlord indicates that the Tenant was served a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent when it was posted on the Tenant's door on November 5, 2009 at 11:00 a.m. in the presence of a witness and again in person on November 25, 2009 at 7:50 p.m., in the presence of a witness.

### Analysis

**Order of Possession** - I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the Tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the Landlord. The notice is deemed to have been received by the Tenant on November 8, 2009, three days after it was posted to the Tenant's door, and the effective date of the notice is November 18, 2009 pursuant to section 90 of the *Act*. I accept the evidence before me that the Tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the Tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

**Monetary Order** – I find that the Landlord is entitled to a monetary claim that this claim meets the criteria under section 72(2)(b) of the *Act* to be offset against the Tenant's security deposit, and that the Landlord is entitled to recover the filing fee from the Tenant as follows:

Unpaid Rent for October 2009 and November 2009 (2 x \$945.00)	\$1,890.00
Filing fee	50.00
Subtotal (Monetary Order in favor of the landlord)	<b>\$1,940.00</b>
LESS the Security Deposit of \$472.50 plus interest from February 18, 2009 to December 10, 2009 of \$0.00	-472.50
<b>TOTAL OFF-SET AMOUNT DUE TO THE LANDLORD</b>	<b>\$1,467.50</b>

### Conclusion

I HEREBY FIND that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service on the Tenant**. This order must be served on the Respondent Tenant and may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I HEREBY FIND in favor of the Landlord's monetary claim. A copy of the Landlord's decision will be accompanied by a Monetary Order for \$1,467.50. The order must be served on the respondent Tenant and is enforceable through the Provincial Court as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: December 10, 2009.

---

Dispute Resolution Officer