DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR MNR MNSD FF

Introduction

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession, a Monetary Order, and to recover the cost of the filing fee from the Tenant for this application.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on November 21, 2009 the Landlord served the Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail. An "incomplete" copy of a Canada Post Receipt was submitted in the Landlord's evidence, listing the Tenant's name, the city and postal code.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent; to a Monetary Order for unpaid rent; and to recover the filing fee from the Tenant for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to sections 46, 55, 67, and 72 of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*.

<u>Analysis</u>

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on November 21, 2009 the Landlord served the Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail however the Landlord has failed to complete the registered mail receipt to prove which address the registered mail package was addressed to.

Page: 2

The purpose of serving documents under the Act is to notify the person being served of

their breach and notification of their rights under the *Act* in response. The Landlord is

seeking to end the tenancy due to this breach; however, the Landlord has the burden of

proving that the Tenant was served with notice of the Direct Request Proceeding.

In the presence of incomplete information pertaining to the service of the Direct Request

Proceeding documents I find that the Landlord has failed to establish that service was

effected in accordance with the Act. Having found that the Landlord has failed to prove

service of the notice of Direct Request Proceeding I have determined that this

application be dismissed with leave to reapply.

Conclusion

I HEREBY DISMISS the Landlord's application, with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: December 11, 2009.	

Dispute Resolution Officer