



Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

Decision

Dispute Codes:

MNR, OPR, MNSD, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on the Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent dated November 16, 2009, a monetary order for rent owed and an order to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim.

Although served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing by registered mail sent on December 1, 2009, the tenant did not appear.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The landlord is seeking an Order of Possession. The landlord is also seeking a monetary order claiming unpaid rent of \$1,500.00 each month for October, November and December, 2009 and the \$50.00 cost of filing the application.

The issues to be determined based on the testimony and the evidence are: whether the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession based on the 10-Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent and whether the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation for rental arrears owed.

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted into evidence a copy of the 10-Day Notice to End Tenancy dated November 16, 2009 with effective date of November 26, 2009, and a copy of the tenancy agreement. The landlord testified that the tenancy began on February 1, 2009, at which time the tenant paid a security deposit of

\$750.00. The landlord testified that the tenant failed to pay \$1,500.00 rent owed for October and \$1,500.00 rent for November 2009 and the Ten-Day Notice was issued on that basis. The landlord testified that the tenant has not responded to and has not vacated the unit. The landlord requested an Order of Possession.

Analysis

Based on the testimony of the landlord, I find that the tenant was served with a Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by posting it on the door. The tenant has not paid the outstanding rent and did not apply to dispute the Notice and is therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice. Based on the above facts I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession.

I find that the landlord has established a total monetary claim for rent owed in the amount of \$4,550.00 comprised of three months accrued rental arrears and the \$50.00 fee paid by the landlord for this application. I order that the landlord retain the security deposit of \$750.00 in partial satisfaction of the claim leaving a balance due of \$3,850.00.

Conclusion

I hereby issue an Order of Possession in favour of the landlord effective two days after service on the tenant. This order must be served on the Respondent and may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I hereby grant the Landlord an order under section 67 for \$3,850.00. This order must be served on the Respondent and may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that Court.

January 2010

Date of Decision

Dispute Resolution Officer