

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNR FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Applicant to obtain a Monetary Order for unpaid rent and to recover the cost of the filing fee from the Respondents.

No one was in attendance for the Applicant however the male Respondent appeared at the hearing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Applicant entitled to a Monetary Order for unpaid rent under section 67 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*?

Background and Evidence

There was no additional evidence or testimony provided in support of the Applicant's claim as no one attended on behalf of the Applicant.

Analysis

Section 61 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* states that upon accepting an application for dispute resolution, the director must set the matter down for a hearing and that the Director must determine if the hearing is to be oral or in writing. In this case, the hearing was scheduled for an oral teleconference hearing.

In the absence of the Applicant, the telephone line remained open while the phone system was monitored for ten minutes and no one on behalf of the Applicant called into the hearing during this time. Based on the aforementioned I find that the Applicant has failed to present the merits of his application and the application was dismissed.

Conclusion

I HEREBY DISMISS the Applicant's claim, without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 10, 2010.

Dispute Resolution Officer