

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Ministry of Housing and Social Development

DECISION

Dispute Codes

OPR, MNR, FF

Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the "Act"), and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession and a monetary order

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on February 16, 2010, the landlord served the tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail. The landlord provided a Canada Post receipt and tracking number as evidence of service to the rental unit address. Section 90 of the Act determines that a document is deemed to have been served on the fifth day after mailing.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been served with the Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to an Order of possession?

Is the landlord entitled to monetary compensation for unpaid rent?

Is the landlord entitled to filing fee costs?

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

• A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the tenant;

• A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on February 2, 2010, with a stated effective vacancy date of February 12, 2010, for \$1,225.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the tenant has failed to pay rent owed and was served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by posting to the door on February 2, 2010, in the morning, with a witness present. The Act deems the tenant was served on February 5, 2010.

The Notice states that the tenant had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days from the date of service.

The Application indicates that the tenant failed to pay rent due for February 2010. The Notice to End Tenancy indicates that the tenant owes \$1,225.00.

<u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord.

The notice is deemed to have been received by the tenant on February 5, 2010.

I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full with in the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*.

Section 53 of the Act allows the effective date of a Notice to be changed to the earliest date upon which the Notice complies with the Act; therefore, I find that the Notice effective date is changed to February 15, 2010.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

I find that the landlord is entitled to compensation of unpaid February rent in the sum of \$1,100.00. I dismiss without leave to reapply the balance of \$125.00 claimed by the landlord as it exceeds the amount of rent payable and I am unable to determine what constitutes the balance.

Therefore, I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of possession, a monetary Order for unpaid February rent, and the application fee cost.

The landlord has not applied to retain the deposit paid by the tenant. Any deposit held in trust by the landlord must be disbursed as required by section 38 of the Act.

Conclusion

I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service** on the tenant and the Order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I find that the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation pursuant section 67 in the amount of **\$1,150.00** comprised of \$1,100.00 rent owed and the \$50.00 fee paid for this application and grant an Order in that amount. This Order must be served on the tenant and may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an Order of that Court.

The remaining claim for compensation in the sum of \$125.00 is dismissed without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: February 24, 2010.

Dispute Resolution Officer