

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, MNSD, FF

Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession, a Monetary Order due to unpaid rent an Order to keep the security deposit and to recover the filing fee.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on January 30, 2010 the landlord served the tenants with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding personally to the tenants.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenants have been served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent; to a Monetary Order for unpaid rent; to keep all or part of the security deposit; and to recover the filing fee from the tenants for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to sections 38, 46, 55, 67, and 72 of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*.

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

• A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the tenant;



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 2

Residential Tenancy Branch Ministry of Housing and Social Development

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on June 24, 2007 for a month to month tenancy beginning August 01, 2007 for the monthly rent of \$ 950.00 due on 1st of the month and a security deposit of \$475.00 was paid on June 24, 2007.
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on January 20, 2010 with an effective vacancy date of January 30, 2010 due to \$900.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the tenants have failed to pay the balance of rent owed for the month of December 2009 (\$450.00) and the balance of rent owed for January 2010 (\$450.00) and that the tenants were served a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent when it was served in person to the tenant's on January 20, 2010 at 5.50 p.m.

The Notice states that the tenant had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days.

<u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenants have been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord. The notice is deemed to have been received by the tenants on January 20, 2010. I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 3

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

Conclusion

I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service on the tenant**. This order must be served on the tenant and may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I find that the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation pursuant to section 67 in the amount of **\$950.00** comprised of \$900.00 rent owed and the \$50.00 fee paid by the landlord for this application. I order that the landlord may retain the deposit and interest held of \$485.91 in partial satisfaction of the claim and grant an order for the balance due of **\$464.09**. This order must be served on the tenants and may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that Court.

| | Dispute Resolution Officer |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| Dated: February 08, 2010. | |
| Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Re | esidential Tenancy Act. |
| This decision is made on authority delegated to | me by the Director of the Residential |