

Decision

Dispute Codes: OPR, MNR, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for an order of possession, a monetary order as compensation for unpaid rent, and recovery of the filing fee. The landlord participated in the hearing and gave affirmed testimony. Despite being served in person with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing, the tenant did not appear.

Issues to be decided

- Whether the landlord is entitled to any or all of the above under the Act

Background and Evidence

Pursuant to a written tenancy agreement, the month-to-month tenancy began on November 1, 2009. Rent in the amount of \$650.00 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. No security deposit or pet damage deposit was collected.

The tenant has paid no rent since the commencement of tenancy. As a result, the landlord issued a 10 day notice to end tenancy for unpaid rent dated February 12, 2010. The notice was served in person on the tenant on that same date. A copy of the notice was submitted into evidence. Subsequently, the tenant has made no payment toward rent and continues to reside in the unit with unknown others.

Analysis

Based on the documentary evidence and undisputed testimony of the landlord, I find that the tenant was served with a 10 day notice to end tenancy for unpaid rent dated February 12, 2010. The tenant did not pay the outstanding rent within 5 days of receiving the notice and did not apply to dispute the notice. The tenant is therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy

ended on the effective date of the notice. Accordingly, I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession.

As for the monetary order, I find that the landlord has established a claim of \$3,950.00. This is comprised of \$3,950.00 in unpaid rent combined for the 6 month period from November 2009 to April 2010, inclusive (6 x \$650.00), in addition to the \$50.00 filing fee. I therefore grant the landlord a monetary order under section 67 of the Act for \$3,950.00.

Conclusion

Pursuant to all of the above, I hereby issue an **order of possession** in favour of the landlord effective not later than **two (2) days** after service upon the tenant. This order must be served on the tenant. Should the tenant fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

Pursuant to section 67 of the Act, I hereby issue a monetary order in favour of the landlord in the amount of **\$3,950.00**. This order may be served on the tenant, filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

DATE: April 13, 2010

Dispute Resolution Officer