DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNSD

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Tenant for a Monetary Order for the return of her security deposit.

No one was in attendance at the teleconference hearing.

Issues(s) to be Decided

Is the Tenant entitled to a Monetary Order under sections 38, and 67, of the *Residential Tenancy Act*?

Background and Evidence

There was no additional evidence or testimony provided as there was no one in attendance at the scheduled hearing.

Analysis

Section 61 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* states that upon accepting an application for dispute resolution, the director must set the matter down for a hearing and that the Director must determine if the hearing is to be oral or in writing. In this case, the hearing was scheduled for an oral teleconference hearing.

In the absence of the applicant Tenant and respondent Landlord, the telephone line remained open while the phone system was monitored for ten minutes and no one on behalf of the applicant Tenant or respondent Landlord called into the hearing during this

time. Based on the aforementioned I find that the Tenant has failed to present the	
merits of their application and the application is hereby dismissed, with leave to reapply.	
mente et trei appreader and tre appreader le releasy dierniceed, mar leave te reappry.	
Conclusion	
I HEREBY DISMISS the Tenant's application with leave to reapply.	
The real of the remaining application that leave to reapply.	
This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential	
Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.	
Dated: April 01, 2010.	
	Diamete Decelution Officer
	Dispute Resolution Officer