

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      OPR, MNR, MNSD, FF

### Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession and a monetary order due to unpaid rent, to keep the tenants security deposit and recover the filing fee.

The landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on March 24, 2010 the landlord served the tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail. Section 90 of the Residential Tenancy Act determines that a document is deemed to have been served on the fifth day after it was sent.

Based on the written submissions of the landlord, I find that the tenant has been served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent; to a monetary Order for unpaid rent; to keep all or part of the security deposit; and to recover the filing fee from the tenant for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to sections 38, 46, 55, 67, and 72 of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*.

### Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted the following evidentiary material:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the tenant;

- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on July 01, 2008, for a fixed term tenancy beginning August 01, 2008 and which reverted to a month to month tenancy at the end of the fixed term for the monthly rent of \$1,100.00 due on the 1st of the month and a security deposit of \$ 550.00 was paid on July 01, 2008.
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on March 12, 2010 with an effective vacancy date of March 22, 2010 due to \$1,850.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the landlord indicates that the tenant had failed to pay the rent owed to the end of the month of March and that the tenant was served a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent when it was posted on the door of the tenant's rental unit on March 12, 2010 at 7.30 p.m.

The Notice states that the tenant had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days.

### Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the landlord. The notice is deemed to have been received by the tenant on March 15, 2010, and the effective date of the notice is amended to March 25, 2010 pursuant to section 53 of the *Act*. I accept the evidence before me that the tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*. The landlord monetary claim is for \$1,450.00 which includes two late fees and two NSF fees of \$25.00 each. However, this direct request hearing deals only with monetary claims for unpaid rent

Based on the foregoing, I find that the tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the *Act* to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

## Conclusion

I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service on the tenant**. This order must be served on the tenant and may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I find that the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation pursuant section 67 in the amount of **\$1,400.00** comprised of \$1,350.00 rent owed and the \$50.00 fee paid by the landlord for this application. I order that the landlord may retain the deposit and interest held of \$554.15 in partial satisfaction of the claim and grant an order for the balance due of **\$845.85**. This order must be served on the tenant and may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that Court.

I dismiss the remainder of the landlords' monetary claim with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: April 08, 2010.

---

Dispute Resolution Officer