DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR MNR FF

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession for unpaid rent, a Monetary Order for unpaid rent, and to recover the cost of the filing fee from the Tenants for this application.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on March 26, 2010 the Landlord served each Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail. Canada Post receipts were submitted in the Landlord's evidence and the Tenants are deemed to be served the hearing package on March 31, 2010, five days after they were mailed, in accordance with section 90 of the Act. Based on the written submissions of the Landlord, I find that the Tenants have been served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent under section 55 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*?

Is the Landlord entitled to a Monetary Order for unpaid rent under section 55 of the Residential Tenancy Act?

Background and Evidence

I have carefully reviewed the following evidentiary material submitted into evidence by the Landlord:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for each Tenant:
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by all parties on February 20, 2010 for a fixed term tenancy beginning on February 20, 2010 and expires on June 30, 2010, when the Tenants are required to move out. The monthly rent of \$1,250.00 is due on 1st of the month and a deposit of \$650.00 was paid on February 20, 2010; and

- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on, March 10, 2010 with an effective vacancy date of March 22, 2010 due to \$497.87 in unpaid rent; and
- A copy of the tenant ledger which displays a payment made on March 22, 2010 in the amount of \$400.00 leaving a balance owing of \$97.87.

Documentary evidence filed by the Landlord indicates that the Tenants were served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by serving Tenant (1) personally on March 10, 2010 at 4:35. The Tenant signed the proof of service form acknowledging receipt of the 10 Day Notice.

<u>Analysis</u>

Order of Possession - I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the Tenants have been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the Landlord. The notice is deemed to have been received by the Tenants on March 10, 2010, the day it was served personally to Tenant (1), and the effective date of the notice is March 20, 2010, pursuant to section 90 of the *Act*. I accept the evidence before me that the Tenants have failed to pay the rent owed in full with in the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the Tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice and I approve the Landlord's request for an Order of Possession.

Monetary Claim – I find that the Tenants have failed to comply with section 26 of the Act which provides that a tenant must pay rent when it is due under the tenancy agreement. Therefore I find the Landlord is entitled to a monetary claim for unpaid rent.

As the Landlord has been successful with their application I hereby award the Landlord recovery of the \$50.00 filing fee.

The Landlord is entitled to a Monetary Order as follows:

| Unpaid Rent for March 2010 | \$97.87 |
|----------------------------------|----------|
| Filing fee | 50.00 |
| TOTAL AMOUNT DUE TO THE LANDLORD | \$147.87 |

I hereby order the Tenants' security deposit of \$650.00, currently held in trust by the Landlord, to be administered in accordance with Section 38 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Conclusion

I HEREBY FIND that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two** days after service on the Tenants. This order must be served on the Respondent Tenants and may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I HEREBY FIND in favor of the Landlord's monetary claim. A copy of the Landlord's decision will be accompanied by a Monetary Order for **\$147.87**. The order must be served on the respondent Tenants and is enforceable through the Provincial Court as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

| Dated: April 12, 2010. | |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| · | Dispute Resolution Officer |