

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      MNDC, O

### Introduction

Some written arguments have been submitted prior to the hearing. I have thoroughly reviewed all submissions.

I also gave the applicant the opportunity to testify at the hearing.

The respondent was served with notice of the hearing by hand on January 28, 2010, but did not join the conference call that was set up for the hearing.

All testimony was taken under affirmation.

### Issues(s) to be Decided

This is a request for a monetary order for \$700.00

### Background and Evidence

The applicant testified that:

- His room was ransacked and 22 DVDs, a school ring, and \$175.00 in cash were stolen from his room.
- No one saw anyone go into his room but his witness/co-worker heard the landlord go into the room.
- The witness/co-worker is no longer available as he is moved away.

The applicant is therefore requesting an order that the landlord pay \$700.00 compensation for these stolen items.

### Analysis

The applicant has alleged that the landlord stole items from him however he has provided no evidence in support of these allegations.

The applicant did not see the landlord entered his room nor does he have any witness testimony from anyone who saw his landlord enters room, and in fact in his own testimony he stated that his witness did not see the landlord entered his room and steal items, he only heard her in his room.

The applicant has not supplied sufficient evidence to meet the burden of proving his allegations.

### Conclusion

This application is dismissed in full without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: April 16, 2010.

---

Dispute Resolution Officer