# DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, FF

### Introduction

This matter was conducted by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on April 20, 2010 the Landlord served the Tenants with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail. Section 90 of the Residential Tenancy Act deems a document delivered in that manner to have been received (or served) on the fifth day after it was sent.

Based on the evidence and written submissions of the Landlord, I find that the Tenants were served as required by s. 89 of the Act with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

#### Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent; to a Monetary Order for unpaid rent; and to recover the filing fee from the Tenants for the cost of the Application for Dispute Resolution, pursuant to sections 46, 55, 67, and 72 of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*.

#### Background and Evidence

The Landlord submitted the following documentary evidence:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the Tenants;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the parties on February 27, 2010 and March 17, 2010 respectively for a month to month tenancy beginning March 1, 2010 for the monthly rent of \$850.00 due in advance on 1st day of each month; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on April 6, 2010 due to \$850.00 in unpaid rent. The effective vacancy date and rental unit address have been left blank.

The evidence filed by the Landlord indicates that the Tenants failed to pay the rent owed for the month of March, 2010 and that the Tenants were served in person with a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent on April 6, 2010. The Notice states that the Tenants had five days to pay the rent or apply for Dispute Resolution or the tenancy would end. The Tenants did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy within five days.

## <u>Analysis</u>

I have reviewed all of the documentary evidence and accept that the Tenants have been served with the Notice to End Tenancy as declared by the Landlord. The Notice was received by the Tenants on April 6, 2010 and the effective date of the Notice is amended to April 16, 2010 pursuant to section 53 of the *Act*. The 10 Day Notice is further amended to include the rental unit address pursuant to s. 68 of the Act as I find that the Tenants would have known this information because it is the address where they reside and accordingly it is reasonable to amend it.

I accept the evidence before me that the Tenants has failed to pay the rent owed in full with in the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*. Based on the foregoing, I find that the Tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

### **Conclusion**

I find pursuant to s. 55(2)(b) of the Act that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service on the Tenants**. This Order must be served on the Tenants and may be filed in the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I also find that the Landlord is entitled to monetary compensation pursuant section 67 of the Act in the amount of **\$900.00** comprised of \$850.00 rent owed and the \$50.00 fee paid by the Landlord for this application. This Order must be served on the Tenants and may be filed in the Provincial (Small Claims) Court of British Columbia and enforced as an Order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: April 28, 2010.

Dispute Resolution Officer