DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> MNSD, FF, O

Introduction

A substantial amount of documentary evidence and written arguments has been submitted by the parties prior to the hearing. I have thoroughly reviewed all submissions.

I also gave the parties the opportunity to give their evidence orally and the parties were given the opportunity to ask questions of the other parties .

All testimony was taken under affirmation.

Issues(s) to be Decided

This is a request for a monetary order for \$800.00

Decision and reasons

The tenant has applied for the return of her security deposit; however the tenant did not properly serve the landlord(s) a forwarding address in writing, as required by the Residential Tenancy Act, prior to applying for arbitration.

Therefore at the time that the tenant applied for dispute resolution, the landlord(s) were under no obligation to return the security deposit and therefore this application is premature.

I therefore dismiss this claim with leave to re-apply; however that may be unnecessary because the landlords have already applied for dispute resolution to keep the security deposit, and therefore the issue of the security deposit may be resolved when landlord's application is heard.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 11, 2010.	
•	Dispute Resolution Officer