

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      MNR MNSD MNDC FF

### Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord to obtain a Monetary Order for unpaid rent, to keep the security and or pet deposit, for money owed or compensation for damage or loss under the Act, regulation, or tenancy agreement, and to recover the cost of the filing fee from the Tenant for this application.

No one was in attendance for either the Landlords or the Tenants.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession and Monetary Order pursuant to Sections 38, 67, and 72 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*?

### Background and Evidence

There was no additional evidence or testimony provided as there was no one in attendance at the scheduled hearing.

### Analysis

Section 61 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* states that upon accepting an application for dispute resolution, the director must set the matter down for a hearing and that the Director must determine if the hearing is to be oral or in writing. In this case, the hearing was scheduled for an oral teleconference hearing.

In the absence of the applicant Landlords and respondent Tenants, the telephone line remained open while the phone system was monitored for ten minutes and no one on

behalf of the applicant Landlords or respondent Tenants called into the hearing during this time. Based on the aforementioned I find that the Landlords have failed to present the merits of their application and the application is hereby dismissed with leave to reapply.

### Conclusion

**I HEREBY DISMISS** the Landlords' application with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: May 28, 2010.

---

Dispute Resolution Officer