Decision

Dispute Codes: MNSD, FF

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with an application by the tenant for the double return of her security

deposit and recovery of the filing fee. Both parties participated in the hearing and gave

affirmed testimony.

Issues to be decided

• Whether the tenant is entitled to the above under the Act

Background and Evidence

A previous hearing was held in this dispute, and a decision and order were issued on

February 17, 2010. While the tenant appeared at that hearing, the landlord was not

present. Subsequently, the landlord applied for review of the decision and order on the

grounds that he was unable to attend the hearing, because of circumstances that could

not be anticipated and were beyond his control. By way of decision dated March 16.

2010, the dispute resolution officer granted the landlord's application for leave for

review.

At the outset of this hearing the tenant stated that she wishes to withdraw her

application for dispute resolution and to conclude the dispute. For his part, the landlord

stated that he has no objection to the tenant's withdrawal of her application, and said

that he is also agreeable to concluding the dispute.

Analysis

Section 63 of the Act provides that the parties may attempt to settle their dispute during

a hearing. Pursuant to this provision, during the hearing the parties agreed that the

tenant's withdrawal of her application concludes all aspects of the dispute arising from

this tenancy for both parties.

Following from the above agreement reached between the parties, pursuant to section 82(3) of the Act which speaks to **Review of director's decision or order**, the original decision and order dated February 17, 2010 are hereby set aside.

Conclusion

Pursuant to the tenant's withdrawal of her application, and the agreement reached between the parties, as above, the application is hereby dismissed.

DATE: May 5, 2010	
	Dispute Resolution Office