



Dispute Resolution Services

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

Decision

Dispute Codes:

MNR

OPR

MNSD

FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the landlord for an Order of Possession based on the Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent dated May 4, 2010, a monetary order for rent owed and an order to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim.

Both parties appeared and gave testimony in turn.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The landlord is seeking an Order of Possession. The landlord is also seeking a monetary order claiming unpaid rental arrears to date of \$3,000.00 and the \$50.00 cost of filing the application.

The issues to be determined based on the testimony and the evidence are:

Whether or not the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession based on the 10-Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent

Whether or not the landlord is entitled to monetary compensation for rental arrears owed and loss of rent

Background and Evidence

The landlord submitted into evidence a copy of the 10-Day Notice to End Tenancy dated May 4, 2010 with effective date of May 14, 2010, a copy of the resident ledger and a copy of the tenancy agreement. The landlord testified that the tenancy began on January 9, 2009 with rent at \$850.00, at which time the tenant paid a security deposit of \$425.00. The landlord testified that the tenant fell into arrears which accumulated to \$3,000.00 by the date of the hearing. The landlord testified that the tenant has not vacated the unit and the landlord has requested an Order of Possession.

The tenant did not dispute that the rent was owed but hoped to arrange a payment plan with the landlord.

Analysis

Based on the testimony of the landlord, I find that the tenant was served with a Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent. The tenant has not paid the outstanding rent and did not apply to dispute the Notice and is therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice. Based on the above facts I find that the landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession.

I find that the landlord has established a total monetary claim of \$3,050.00 comprised of \$3,000.00 accrued rental arrears and the \$50.00 fee paid by the landlord for this application. I order that the landlord retain the security deposit of \$425.00 in partial satisfaction of the claim leaving a balance due of \$2,625.00.

Conclusion

I hereby issue an Order of Possession in favour of the landlord effective two days after service on the tenant. This order must be served on the Respondent and may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I hereby grant the Landlord an order under section 67 for \$2,625.00. This order must be served on the Respondent and may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that Court.

June 2010

Date of Decision

Dispute Resolution Officer