



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNR, MNSD, OPR, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an order of possession, a monetary order, an order to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim and to recover the filing fee for the Application.

Although served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing by registered mail, sent on May 10, 2010, and deemed served five days later under the Act, the Tenant did not appear.

An Agent for the Landlord appeared, gave affirmed testimony and was provided the opportunity to present their evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and to make submissions to me.

I have reviewed all oral and written evidence before me that met the requirements of the rules of procedure. However, only the evidence relevant to the issues and findings in this matter are described in this Decision.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Has the Tenant breached the Act or tenancy agreement, entitling the Landlord to an Order of Possession and monetary relief?

Background and Evidence

Based on the affirmed testimony of the Agent, I find that the Tenant was served with a Notice to End Tenancy for non-payment of rent by posting it on the door on April 3, 2010.

The Tenant has not paid all the outstanding rent and did not apply to dispute the Notice and is therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

The Agent testified that the Tenant vacated the rental unit on May 31, 2010.

Analysis

Based on the above, the testimony and evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

Although the Landlord is entitled to an order of possession in these circumstances, the Tenant has vacated the rental unit and therefore, an order of possession is no longer required.

I find that the Tenant failed to pay rent under the Act and tenancy agreement.

I find the Landlord has established a total monetary claim of **\$1,358.00** comprised of the balance of rent owed for March (143.20), April and May (1,164.80) of 2010, and the \$50.00 fee paid by the Landlord for this application.

I order that the Landlord may retain the deposit and interest of **\$305.45** in partial satisfaction of the claim and I grant the Landlord an order under section 67 for the balance due of **\$1,052.55**.

The Tenant must be served with a copy of the order and this order may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 16, 2010.

Dispute Resolution Officer