**DECISION** 

Dispute Codes

CNR, OLC, RR, OPR, MNDC

<u>Introduction</u>

A substantial amount of documentary evidence and written arguments has been submitted

by the parties prior to the hearing. I have thoroughly reviewed all submissions.

I also gave the parties the opportunity to give their evidence orally and the parties were given

the opportunity to ask questions of the other parties.

All testimony was taken under affirmation.

Issues(s) to be Decided

This decision deals with two applications for dispute resolution, one brought by the tenant

and one brought by the landlords. Both files were heard together.

The tenants application is a request to have a Notice to End Tenancy cancelled and a

request for a monetary order for \$3410.00.

The landlords application is a request for an Order of Possession based on a Notice to End

Tenancy for non-payment rent, and a request for a monetary order for \$6,000.00.

Decision and reasons

Before dealing with the claims put forward by the parties on their applications I have dealt

with the matter of jurisdiction.

The tenant claims that he has a verbal tenancy agreement with the landlord to live in the

rental unit free of charge while doing renovations to the rental unit, and that once the

renovations are complete rent will be set at \$500.00 a month and the rent will never be

raised.

The landlord testified that she never came to a rental agreement with the tenant, and in fact

the tenant moved in without any permission while she was bedridden due to an automobile

accident. There was never any agreement for the tenant to do renovations in the rental unit

nor was there an agreement that rent would be \$500.00 per month. Why would she agree

to rent the unit to the tenant for \$500.00 per month, when she normally collects \$1500.00

per month for this rental unit? There was no agreement whatsoever, the tenant just took

advantage of the fact that she was bedridden and unable to deal with having him removed.

It is my finding that the Residential Tenancy Branch has no jurisdiction over this matter as

there is insufficient evidence to establish that there is a landlord tenant relationship between

these parties.

Conclusion

I declined jurisdiction over both these applications and the parties will have to look to some

other jurisdiction to resolve their disputes.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: June 02, 2010.

Dispute Resolution Officer