

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      MNSD MNDC FF

### Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Tenant to obtain a Monetary Order for the return of the security deposit, for money owed or compensation for damage or loss under the Act, regulation, or tenancy agreement, and to recover the cost of the filing fee from the Landlord for this application.

No one was in attendance for the applicant Tenant however the respondent Landlord appeared at the hearing.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Tenant entitled to a Monetary Order under sections 38, 67, and 72 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*?

### Background and Evidence

There was no additional evidence or testimony provided in support of the Tenant's claim as no one attended on behalf of the Tenant.

### Analysis

Section 61 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* states that upon accepting an application for dispute resolution, the director must set the matter down for a hearing and that the Director must determine if the hearing is to be oral or in writing. In this case, the hearing was scheduled for an oral teleconference hearing. In the absence of the applicant Tenant, the telephone line remained open while the phone system was monitored for ten minutes and no one on behalf of the applicant Tenant called into the hearing during this time. Based on the aforementioned I find that the Tenant has failed to present the merits of his application and the application was dismissed.

Conclusion

I HEREBY DISMISS the Tenant's application, without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 09, 2010.

---

Dispute Resolution Officer