

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      OPR MNR

### Introduction

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession for unpaid rent and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on June 9, 2010 the Landlord served the Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail. Canada Post receipts were submitted in the Landlord's evidence. The Tenant is deemed to have been served with the hearing package on June 14, 2010, five days after it was mailed in accordance with section 90 of the Act. Based on the written submissions of the Landlord, I find that the Tenant has been served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order pursuant to section 55 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*?

### Background and Evidence

I have carefully reviewed the following evidentiary material submitted by the Landlord:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the Tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by all parties on August 31, 2009 for a fixed term tenancy beginning September 01, 2009, and switching to a month to month tenancy after August 31, 2010, for the monthly



rent of \$810.00 due on 1st of the month and a deposit of \$405.00 was paid on August 31, 2009; and

- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on, June 3, 2010 with an effective vacancy date of June 13, 2010 due to \$1,930.00 in unpaid rent; and
- A tenant ledger which provides an account of the accumulated unpaid rent between March 1, 2010 and June 1, 2010.

Documentary evidence filed by the Landlord indicates that the Tenant was served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by leaving it personally with the Tenant on June 3, 2010. The Tenant signed the proof of service document to acknowledge receipt of the 10 Day Notice.

#### Analysis

**Order of Possession** - I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the Tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the Landlord. The notice is deemed to have been received by the Tenant on June 3, 2010, and the effective date of the notice is June 13, 2010, pursuant to section 90 of the *Act*. I accept the evidence before me that the Tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the Tenant is conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice and I hereby grant the Landlord an Order of Possession.

**Monetary Order** – The evidence supports that the Tenant has failed to pay rent in the amount of \$1,930.00 between March 1, 2010 and June 1, 2010, in violation of section 26 of the Act which provides that a tenant must pay rent when it is due under the tenancy agreement. As per the aforementioned I approve the Landlord's request for a Monetary Order as follows:

Accumulated unpaid rent between March 1, 2010 and June 1,	
---	--



2010	\$1,930.00
<b>TOTAL AMOUNT DUE TO THE LANDLORD</b>	<b>\$1,930.00</b>

Any deposits currently held in trust by the Landlord are to be administered in accordance with Section 38 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

### Conclusion

I HEREBY FIND that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two days after service on the Tenant**. This order must be served on the Respondent Tenants and may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I HEREBY FIND in favor of the Landlord's monetary claim. A copy of the Landlord's decision will be accompanied by a Monetary Order for **\$1,930.00**. The order must be served on the respondent Tenant and is enforceable through the Provincial Court as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: June 15, 2010.

---

Dispute Resolution Officer