DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR MNR

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution filed by the Landlord on June 3, 2010, for an Order of Possession for unpaid rent and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on June 7, 2010, the Landlord served the Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail. Canada Post Receipt numbers were submitted in the Landlord's documentary evidence.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order under section 55 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*?

Background and Evidence

I have carefully reviewed the following evidentiary material submitted by the Landlord:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the Tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by all parties on October 1, 2005 and again on September 25, 2009, for a month to month tenancy beginning October 01, 2005, for the monthly rent of \$1,212.75 due on 1st of the month; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on, May 19, 2010, with an effective vacancy date of May 29, 2010 due to \$836.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the Landlord indicates that she attempted to serve the Tenant with the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent in person, in the

presence of a witness; however the Tenant refused the service.

<u>Analysis</u>

The Landlords provided evidence that the Tenant was served the hearing package on

June 7, 2010; four days after the Landlords filed their application for dispute resolution

and were issued the hearing documents.

I find that service of the Notices of Dispute Resolution were not effected in accordance

with section 59(3) of the Residential Tenancy Act (the Act) which stipulates that notices

of dispute resolution must be served to the respondent(s) within 3 days of filing the

application. In this case service of the hearing documents needed to be initiated no

later than June 6, 2010. Having found the service of documents not to have been

effected in accordance with the Act, I dismiss the Landlords' claim, with leave to

reapply.

Conclusion

I HEREBY DISMISS the Landlords' claim, with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: June 15, 2010.

Dispute Resolution Officer