DECISION

Dispute Codes

OPR, MNR

Introduction

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to sections 55(4) and 74(2) of the *Residential Tenancy Act (Act)*, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession and a monetary order.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on July 06, 2010 an agent for the Landlord personally served the Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding at the rental unit. Section 90 of the Residential Tenancy Act determines that a document, when served personally, is deemed to have been served on the same day of service.

Based on the written submissions of the Landlord, I find the Tenant has been duly served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

The issues to be decided are whether the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession for unpaid rent and to a monetary Order for unpaid rent, pursuant to sections 55 and 67 of the *Act*.

Background and Evidence

I have reviewed the following evidence submitted by the Landlord:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the Tenant
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement that appears to have been signed by the Tenant, which indicates that the tenancy began on April 01, 2010, and that the rent of \$950.00 per month is due on the first day of each month
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was signed by the Landlord on June 28, 2010 which declares that the Tenant must vacate the rental unit by July 08, 2010 unless the Tenant pays the rent within five days of receiving the Notice or submits an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking to

set aside the Notice within five days of receiving the Notice. The Notice indicates that the Tenant owes rent, in the amount of \$950.00 that was due on June 01, 2010.

 A copy of Proof of Service of the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy, in which the Landlord declared that he personally served the Tenant with the Notice on June 28, 2010 at 1900 hours, in the presence of his wife, who also signed the Proof of Service.

On the Application for Dispute Resolution, the Landlord indicates that the he personally served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent to the Tenant on June 28, 2010 and that the Tenant did not pay rent for June of 2010.

<u>Analysis</u>

Based on the evidence provided by the Landlord and in the absence of evidence to the contrary, I find that the Tenant entered into a tenancy agreement that required the Tenant to pay monthly rent of \$950.00 on the first day of each month.

Based on the evidence provided by the Landlord and in the absence of evidence to the contrary, I find that the Tenant had not paid rent for June of 2010 by the time the Landlord filed this Application for Dispute Resolution. I have no evidence to show that the Tenant paid the outstanding rent since the Application for Dispute Resolution was filed and therefore I find that the Tenant owes rent in the amount of \$950.00.

Based on the evidence provided by the Landlord and in the absence of evidence to the contrary, I find that a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy was personally served on the Tenant on June 28, 2010.

I have no evidence to show that the Tenant filed an Application for Dispute Resolution seeking to set aside the Notice to End Tenancy. Pursuant to section 46(5) of the *Act*, I therefore find that the Tenant has accepted that the tenancy ended on July 08, 2010, which is ten days after he is deemed to have received the Notice.

Conclusion

I find that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective two days after service on the Tenant. This Order may be served on the Tenant, filed with the Supreme Court of British Columbia and enforced as an Order of that Court.

I find that the Landlord has established a monetary claim, in the amount of \$950.00 for unpaid rent and I grant the Landlord a monetary Order for that amount. In the event that the Tenant does not comply with this Order, it may be served on the Tenant, filed

with the	Province	of British	Columbia	Small	Claims	Court	and	enforced	as a	an	Order	of
that Cou	rt.											

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 13, 2010.	
	Dispute Resolution Officer