



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPC, FF

This hearing was scheduled to hear the landlord's application for an Order of Possession for cause and recovery of the filing fee. The tenants did not appear at the hearing. The landlord testified that he served the male tenant with the hearing documents in person on July 14, 2010 in the presence of two witnesses, the landlord's wife and son. The landlord's wife appeared at the hearing and confirmed the landlord served the tenant with the hearing documents. I was satisfied the landlord sufficiently served the tenants and I proceeded to hear from the landlord without the tenants present.

The landlord testified that the tenants have vacated the rental unit. Therefore, an Order of Possession is no longer required and I do not provide one with this decision.

The landlord testified that despite serving the tenants with a Notice to End Tenancy and requesting the tenants confirm they would be vacating by the end of July 2010 the tenants did not provide response. Accordingly, the landlord made this application for an Order of Possession.

Based upon the above undisputed testimony, I award the filing fee to the landlord. The landlord is authorized to deduct \$50.00 from the tenant's security deposit in satisfaction of this award.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: July 28, 2010.

Dispute Resolution Officer