



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNDC

Decision and reasons

This file was originally scheduled to be heard on June 14, 2010 however there is a "No Contact Order" in place from the Courts that states that the applicant is to have no direct or indirect contact with the respondent, and as a result I was unable to proceed on that date.

At that time the applicant stated that they had permission from the Court to participate in the hearing and therefore I granted an adjournment to allow them time to submit evidence that showed they were allowed to participate in the dispute resolution hearing.

The hearing was rescheduled for today August 9, 2010 to 9 a.m. however again I am unable to proceed because we have not received the evidence required, even though the applicant claims to have sent it.

The matter has already been adjourned once and I am not willing to grant a second adjournment.

Conclusion

This application is dismissed with leave to reapply. I further order that the applicant repay the \$50.00 filing fee, which was previously waived, to the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: August 09, 2010.

Dispute Resolution Officer