Page: 1

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR

Introduction

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession for unpaid rent.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that the Landlord served each Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by posting them to the Tenants' door on August 18, 2010, at 2:30 p.m. Based on the written submissions of the Landlord, I find that each Tenant has been served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession pursuant to section 55 of the Residential Tenancy Act?

Background and Evidence

I have carefully reviewed the following evidentiary material submitted by the Landlord:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for each Tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by all parties on June 12, 2007, for a fixed term tenancy beginning June 15, 2007, and switching to a month to month tenancy after July 1, 2007, for the monthly rent of \$2400.00 due on 1st of the month and a deposit of \$1200.00 was paid on June 12, 2007; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on, August 5, 2010 with an effective vacancy date of August 15, 2010 due to \$6,412.68 in unpaid rent.

Page: 2

Documentary evidence filed by the Landlord indicates that the Tenants were served the

10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by posting it to the Tenants' door on

August 5, 2010 at 8:30 a.m., in the presence of a witness.

<u>Analysis</u>

Order of Possession - I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the

Tenants have been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the Landlord. The

notice is deemed to have been received by the Tenant on August 8, 2010, the third day

after it was posted to the Tenants' door, and the effective date of the notice is August

18, 2010, pursuant to section 90 of the Act. I accept the evidence before me that the

Tenants have failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section

46 (4) of the Act.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the Tenants are conclusively presumed under section

46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the

Notice and I hereby grant the Landlord an Order of Possession.

Conclusion

I HEREBY FIND that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective two

days after service on the Tenants. This order must be served on the Respondent

Tenants and may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

Dated: August 31, 2010.	
	Dispute Resolution Officer