



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNSD FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the tenant for return of double the security deposit and recovery of the filing fee. Although served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing sent by registered mail on April 27, 2010 the landlord failed to attend the hearing.

Issues(s) to be Decided

Is the tenant entitled to the order requested?

Background and Evidence

This tenancy began on January 1, 2010 and ended on March 31, 2010. The tenant paid a security deposit of \$200.00 at the start of the tenancy. The tenant provided the landlord with his forwarding address in writing on March 31, 2010.

Analysis

Section 38(1) of the Act provides that within 15 days after the later of the date the tenancy ends and the date the landlord receives the tenant's forwarding address in writing, the landlord must either repay the security deposit to the tenant or file an application for dispute resolution claiming against the deposit. In the present case, the landlord has done neither.

Section 38(6) provides that if a landlord does not comply with section 38(1), the landlord may not make a claim against the deposit and must pay the tenant double the amount of the security deposit.

Conclusion

Based on the above, I find that the tenant is entitled to an order that the landlord pay to her double the security deposit. I therefore order that the landlord pay to the tenant the sum of \$400.00 representing double the deposit plus interest on the original amount. I further order that the landlord bear the \$50.00 cost of this application. This order may be filed in Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.