

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR MNR

Introduction

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession for unpaid rent and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent.

The Landlords submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on August 18, 2010, the Landlord served each Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding, via registered mail. Canada Post receipts were provided in the Landlord's evidence. The Tenants are deemed to have been served on August 23, 2010, five days after they were mailed, in accordance with Section 90 of the Act.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order under section 55 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*?

Background and Evidence

I have carefully reviewed the following evidentiary material submitted by the Landlord:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for each Tenant; and
- A copy of the tenancy agreement was signed by the Landlord and female Tenant on May 31, 2010 and the male Tenant on July 9, 2010, for a fixed term tenancy effective June 1, 2010 which will switch to a month to month tenancy after

November 30, 2010. Rent is payable on the first of each month in the amount of \$950.00 and a security deposit of \$475.00 was paid on May 30, 2010; and

- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on, August 3, 2010, with an effective vacancy date of August 13, 2010 due to \$970.00 in unpaid rent which was due on August 3, 2010; and
- A notation on the Landlord's application that he is seeking \$970.00 which is comprised of \$950.00 of rent plus \$20.00 parking fee.

Documentary evidence filed by the Landlords indicates that the Tenants were served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent when it was posted to the Tenant's door on August 3, 2010 at 8:00 p.m., in the presence of a witness.

Analysis

The Landlord has provided a copy of the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy which was issued on August 3, 2010, which in the section "you have failed to pay rent in the amount of \$970.00 that was due on 03/08/2010 (Day Month Year)". The Landlords are seeking to end the tenancy due to this breach; however, the Landlords have the burden of proving that the Tenants were served with a 10 day Notice to End Tenancy which meets the form, content, and service under sections 52 and 89 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

In this case I find that the 10 Day Notice issued by the Landlord does not meet the requirements of the Act as the Notice was issued listing "**rent**" of \$970.00 was due on August 3, 2010 and is now past due. The evidence supports rent was payable on August 1st not August 3, 2010, and the outstanding rent is only \$950.00 and not \$970.00. A Notice cannot be issued on the date the rent was due, it must be issued the next day. Therefore, if rent was due August 3, 2010 the Notice must be issued on a date that falls "after" August 3, 2010. There is no evidence before that indicates the Tenants were required to pay \$20.00 as part of their rent for parking. While parking

may be payable pursuant to another agreement and/or the Act or Regulation , they are not rent and cannot be listed on the 10 Day Notice as being late rent.

As per the aforementioned I find the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid rent is invalid and is of no force or effect. Having found the 10 Day Notice issued August 3, 2010, to be invalid, I hereby dismiss the Landlord's application, without leave to reapply.

Conclusion

I HEREBY ORDER the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy issued for Unpaid Rent and dated August 3, 2010, is void and is without force or effect.

I HEREBY DISMISS the Landlord's application, without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: September 01, 2010.

Dispute Resolution Officer