

## **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

## **DECISION**

<u>Dispute Codes</u> FF. MNSD, OLC

## **Decision and reasons**

I am not willing to proceed with this matter because there is no landlord-tenant relationship between the applicant and the respondent.

The applicant stated that he paid a security deposit on behalf of his son and therefore he is requesting an order that it be returned to him however I have no jurisdiction in this matter.

The Residential Tenancy Act only has jurisdiction over disputes between the landlords and tenants and the applicant was never a tenant at the dispute property, it was his son who was the tenant, and his son has not applied and is not named as an applicant.

For this to be dealt with by the Residential Tenancy Branch, the applicant's son would have to be named as the applicant.

## Conclusion

I decline jurisdiction over this matter and will not hear the claim.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 01, 2010.

Dispute Resolution Officer