

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      OPR MNR

### Introduction

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution filed by the Landlord on September 21, 2010, for an Order of Possession for unpaid rent and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on September 25, 2010, the Landlord served the Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail. Canada Post Receipt numbers were submitted in the Landlord's documentary evidence.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order under section 55 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*?

### Background and Evidence

I have carefully reviewed the following evidentiary material submitted by the Landlord:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the Tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by all parties on April 24, 2010, for a month to month tenancy beginning April 24, 2010, for the monthly rent of \$1,200.00 due on 1st of the month; and
- A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on, September 12, 2010, with an effective vacancy date of September 12, 2010 due to \$3,600.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the Landlord indicates that she attempted to serve the Tenant with the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent when it was posted to the Tenant's door on September 2, 2010, at 13:30 hrs in the presence of a witness.

### Analysis

The Landlord provided evidence that the Tenant was served the hearing package on September 25, 2010; four days after the Landlords filed their application for dispute resolution and were issued the hearing documents.

Based on the aforementioned, I find that service of the Notices of Dispute Resolution were not effected in accordance with section 59(3) of the *Residential Tenancy Act* (the Act) which stipulates that notices of dispute resolution must be served to the respondent(s) within 3 days of filing the application. In this case service of the hearing documents needed to be initiated no later than September 24, 2010. Having found the service of documents not to have been effected in accordance with the Act, I dismiss the Landlords' claim, with leave to reapply.

### Conclusion

**I HEREBY DISMISS** the Landlords' claim, with leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 06, 2010.

---

Dispute Resolution Officer