

# **Dispute Resolution Services**

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch Ministry of Housing and Social Development

# **DECISION**

# **Dispute Codes:**

MNSD, FF

# Introduction

This hearing dealt with an application by the tenant, pursuant to section 38 of the *Residential Tenancy Act,* for a monetary order for the return of double the balance of the security deposit and the filing fee.

Both parties attended the hearing and were given full opportunity to present evidence and make submissions.

#### Issues to be Decided

Is the tenant entitled to the return of double the balance of the security deposit?

# **Background and Evidence**

The tenancy started on October 01, 2007 and ended on May 28, 2008. Prior to moving in the tenant paid a security deposit of \$337.50.

During the hearing the parties engaged in a conversation, turned their minds to compromise and achieved a resolution of their dispute.

# <u>Analysis</u>

Pursuant to Section 63 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*, the dispute resolution officer may assist the parties settle their dispute and if the parties settle their dispute during the dispute resolution proceedings, the settlement may be recorded in the form of a decision or an order.

During this hearing, the parties reached an agreement to settle these matters, on the following conditions:

- 1. The tenant agreed to accept \$120.00 in full settlement of his claim for double the security deposit.
- 2. The landlord agreed to pay the tenant \$120.00 in full settlement of his claim.

The parties agree that the above particulars comprise full and final settlement of all aspects of the dispute for both parties.

As this dispute was resolved by mutual agreement and not based on the merits of the case, I decline the tenant's request to recover the filing fee paid for this application

#### **Conclusion**

Pursuant to the above agreement, I grant the tenant an order under section 67 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* for the amount of **\$120.00**. This order may be filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 08, 2010.

**Dispute Resolution Officer**