



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, MNSD, MNDC, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an order of possession, a monetary order and an order to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim and to recover the filing fee.

Although served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing by registered mail on September 17, 2010, the Tenant did not appear.

The Landlord appeared, gave affirmed testimony and was provided the opportunity to present their evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and make submissions to me.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Has the Tenant breached the Act or tenancy agreement, entitling the Landlord to an Order of Possession and monetary relief?

Background and Evidence

Based on the affirmed testimony and evidence of the Landlord, I find that the Tenant was served with a Notice to End Tenancy for non-payment of rent on September 8, 2010, by posting on the door. The Notice informed the Tenant that the Notice would be cancelled if the rent was paid within five days. The Notice also explains the Tenant had five days to dispute the Notice.

The Tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice. The Landlord provided evidence and testified that the Tenant has not paid the monthly rent of \$1,550.00 for August, September and October, 2010.

The Landlord testified that there has been some confusion as to the surname of the Tenant, but I accept the evidence and testimony that the correct legal name is as it appears in the style of the Decision.

Analysis

Based on the above, the testimony and evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

The Tenant has not paid the outstanding rent and did not apply to dispute the Notice and is therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

I find that the Landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective **2 days** after service on the Tenant. This order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I find that the Landlord has established a total monetary claim of **\$4,700.00** comprised of \$4,650.00 in unpaid rent and the \$50.00 fee paid by the Landlord for this application.

I order that the Landlord withhold from the security deposit of **\$775.00** in partial satisfaction of the claim, and I grant the Landlord an order under section 67 for the balance due of **\$3,925.00**.

Conclusion

The Tenant failed to pay rent and did not apply to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy. The Tenant is presumed under the law to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice to End Tenancy.

The Landlord is granted an Order of Possession and a monetary order for the balance due of **\$3,925.00**.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 22, 2010.

Dispute Resolution Officer