



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

DECISION

Dispute Codes MNDC

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Tenant to obtain a Monetary Order for money owed or compensation for damage or loss under the Act, regulation or tenancy agreement.

No one was in attendance for the applicant Tenant however the Agent for the respondent Landlord appeared at the hearing.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Tenant entitled to a Monetary Order pursuant to section 67 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*?

Background and Evidence

There was no additional evidence or testimony provided in support of the Tenant's claim as no one attended on behalf of the Tenant.

Analysis

Section 61 of the *Residential Tenancy Act* states that upon accepting an application for dispute resolution, the director must set the matter down for a hearing and that the Director must determine if the hearing is to be oral or in writing. In this case, the hearing was scheduled for an oral teleconference hearing. In the absence of the applicant Tenant, the telephone line remained open while the phone system was monitored for ten minutes and no one on behalf of the applicant Tenant called into the hearing during

this time. Based on the aforementioned I find that the Tenant has failed to present the merits of his application and the application was dismissed.

Conclusion

I HEREBY DISMISS the Tenant's application, without leave to reapply.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: October 29, 2010.

Dispute Resolution Officer