Decision

Dispute Codes: OPR, MNR, MNSD, FF

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for an order of possession / a

monetary order as compensation for unpaid rent / retention of the security deposit / and

recovery of the filing fee. The landlord's agent participated in the hearing and gave

affirmed testimony. Despite service of the application for dispute resolution and notice

of hearing by way of posting on the tenant's door, the tenant did not appear.

<u>Issues to be decided</u>

Whether the landlord is entitled to any or all of the above under the Act

Background and Evidence

What is a month-to-month tenancy began approximately two years ago. Rent in the

amount of \$600.00 is payable in advance on the first day of each month. A security

deposit of \$300.00 was collected at or around the outset of tenancy.

Arising from rent which was not fully paid when due over several months, the landlord

issued a 10 day notice to end tenancy for unpaid rent dated August 17, 2010. The

notice was served by way of posting on the tenant's door on that same date. A copy of

the notice was submitted into evidence, and shows that a total of \$2,027.50 was

overdue on August 1, 2010. Subsequently, the tenant made partial payments toward

overdue rent as follows:

\$300.00: September 20, 2010

\$400.00: October 9, 2020

\$300.00: October 15, 2010

Presently, therefore, rent remains outstanding in the total amount of \$2,227.50.

<u>Analysis</u>

Based on the documentary evidence and the affirmed / undisputed testimony of the

landlord's agent, I find that the tenant was served with a 10 day notice to end tenancy

for unpaid rent dated August 17, 2010. The tenant did not pay the full amount of

outstanding rent within 5 days of receiving the notice and did not apply to dispute the

notice. The tenant is therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to

have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice. Accordingly, I

find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession.

As for the monetary order, I find that the landlord has established a claim of \$2,277.50,

which is comprised of unpaid rent totaling \$2,227.50, plus the \$50.00 filing fee. I order

that the landlord retain the security deposit of \$300.00, and I grant the landlord a

monetary order under section 67 of the Act for the balance owed of \$1,977.50

(\$2,277.50 - \$300.00).

Conclusion

I hereby issue an **order of possession** in favour of the landlord effective not later than

two (2) days after service on the tenant. This order must be served on the tenant.

Should the tenant fail to comply with the order, the order may be filed in the Supreme

Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that Court.

Pursuant to section 67 of the Act, I hereby issue a **monetary order** in favour of the

landlord in the amount of \$1,977.50. Should it be necessary, this order may be served

on the tenant, filed in the Small Claims Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

DATE: October 18, 2010

Dispute Resolution Officer