



# Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch  
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

## **DECISION**

Dispute Codes      OPR, MNR, MNSD, FF

### Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an order of possession due to unpaid rent, a monetary order for unpaid rent, an order to retain the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim and to recover the filing fee for the Application.

Although served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing in person, which was witnessed, on October 2, 2010, the Tenant did not appear at the hearing. I find the Tenant has been duly served under the Act.

The Landlord appeared, gave affirmed testimony and was provided the opportunity to present evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and to make submissions to me.

### Issue(s) to be Decided

Has the Tenant breached the Act or tenancy agreement, entitling the Landlord to an Order of Possession and monetary relief?

### Background and Evidence

Based on the affirmed testimony and written evidence of the Landlord, I find that the Tenant was served with a 10 day Notice to End Tenancy for non-payment of rent on September 17, 2010, by posting on the door. This was witnessed by two third parties. The Notice informed the Tenant that the Notice would be cancelled if the rent was paid within five days. The Notice also explains the Tenant had five days to dispute the Notice.

The Landlord testified that the Tenant had not paid the rent. There is also no evidence that the Tenant made an Application for Dispute Resolution to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy.

### Analysis

Based on the above, the testimony and evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

The Tenant has not paid the outstanding rent and did not apply to dispute the Notice and is therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

I find that the Landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective **two days** after service on the Tenant. This order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I find that the Landlord has established a total monetary claim of **\$2,950.00**, comprised of \$2,900.00 in unpaid rent for September, October and November of 2010, and the \$50.00 fee paid by the Landlord for this application.

I order that the Landlord retain the deposit of \$500.00 in partial satisfaction of the claim and I grant the Landlord an order under section 67 for the balance due of **\$2,450.00**. I note that no interest is payable on deposits in 2010.

This order may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that Court.

### Conclusion

The Tenant failed to pay rent and did not file to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy. The Tenant is presumed under the law to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice to End Tenancy.

The Landlord is granted an Order of Possession, may keep the security deposit and interest in partial satisfaction of the claim and is granted a monetary order for the balance due.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: November 02, 2010.

---

Dispute Resolution Officer