

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession for unpaid rent.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on November 5, 2010 at 2:00 p.m. the Landlord served the Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding by posting it to the Tenant's door. Based on the written submissions of the Landlord, I find that the Tenant has been served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession pursuant to section 55 of the Residential Tenancy Act?

Background and Evidence

I have carefully reviewed the following evidentiary material submitted by the Landlord:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for the Tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by all parties on June 4, 2010, for a fixed term tenancy beginning January 1, 2010, and switching to a month to month tenancy after December 31, 2010 for the monthly rent of \$965.00 due on 1st of the month

Page: 2

A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on,

October 18, 2010 with an effective vacancy date of October 30, 2010 due to

\$362.92 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the Landlord indicates that the Tenant was served the

10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by posting it to the Tenant's door on

October 18, 2010 at 3:00 p.m. in the presence of a witness.

<u>Analysis</u>

Order of Possession - I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the

Tenant has been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the Landlord. The

notice is deemed to have been received by the Tenant on October 21, 2010, the third

day after it was posted to the Tenant's door, and the effective date of the notice is

October 24, 2010, pursuant to section 90 of the Act. I accept the evidence before me

that the Tenant has failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under

section 46 (4) of the Act.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the Tenant is conclusively presumed under section

46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the

Notice and I hereby grant the Landlord an Order of Possession.

Conclusion

I HEREBY FIND that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective two

days after service on the Tenant. This order must be served on the Respondent

Tenants and may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential

Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the Residential Tenancy Act.

| Dated: | November | 12 | 2010 |
|--------|----------|----|------|
| 1/0150 | | | |