

Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Housing and Social Development

DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR MNR

Introduction

This hearing proceeded by way of Direct Request Proceeding, pursuant to section 55(4) of the Act, and dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an Order of Possession for unpaid rent and a Monetary Order for unpaid rent.

The Landlord submitted a signed Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding which declares that on November 8, 2010 the Landlord served each Tenant with the Notice of Direct Request Proceeding via registered mail. Canada Post receipts were submitted in the Landlord's evidence. Based on the written submissions of the Landlord, I find that each Tenant has been served with the Dispute Resolution Direct Request Proceeding documents in accordance with the Act.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Is the Landlord entitled to an Order of Possession and a Monetary Order pursuant to section 55 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*?

Background and Evidence

I have carefully reviewed the following evidentiary material submitted by the Landlord:

- A copy of the Proof of Service of the Notice of Direct Proceeding for each Tenant;
- A copy of a residential tenancy agreement which was signed by the Landlord and the male Tenant on October 14, 2010, for a month to month tenancy beginning November 1, 2009, for the monthly rent of \$1,300.00 due on 1st of the month and a deposit of \$650.00 was paid on October 14, 2009; and
- A notation on the Landlord's application which indicates the Tenants have failed to pay \$650.00 of the September 2010 rent and all of the payments received by cheque since September 15, 2010 have been returned NSF; and

Page: 2

 A copy of a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent which was issued on, October 25, 2010 with an effective vacancy date of November 5, 2010 due to \$650.00 in unpaid rent.

Documentary evidence filed by the Landlord indicates that the Tenants were served the 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent by leaving it personally with the male Tenant on October 25, 2010 at 8:20 p.m., in the presence of a witness.

Analysis

I have reviewed all documentary evidence and note that the tenancy agreement was signed by only one Tenant; therefore I find the Landlord's application through the Direct Request process may only proceed against the one Tenant who signed the tenancy agreement.

As per the aforementioned I find the Landlord's application against the second named Tenant, who did not sign the tenancy agreement, is dismissed without leave to reapply.

Order of Possession - I have reviewed all documentary evidence and accept that the Tenants have been served with notice to end tenancy as declared by the Landlord. The notice is deemed to have been received by the male Tenant on October 25, 2010, and the effective date of the notice is November 5, 2010, pursuant to section 90 of the *Act*. I accept the evidence before me that the Tenants have failed to pay the rent owed in full within the 5 days granted under section 46 (4) of the *Act*.

Based on the foregoing, I find that the Tenants are conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice and I hereby grant the Landlord an Order of Possession.

Monetary Order – The evidence supports that the Tenants have failed to pay the September 1, 2010 rent in full, in violation of section 26 of the Act which provides that a tenant must pay rent when it is due under the tenancy agreement. As per the aforementioned I approve the Landlord's request for a Monetary Order as follows:

Unpaid Rent which was due September 1, 2010	\$650.00
Olipaid Noll Willoll Was add Ooptolliber 1, 2010	Ψ000.00

Any deposits currently held in trust by the Landlord are to be administered in accordance with Section 38 of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Page: 3

Conclusion

I HEREBY FIND that the Landlord is entitled to an Order of Possession effective **two** days after service on the male Tenant. This order must be served on the Respondent Tenant and may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I HEREBY FIND in favor of the Landlord's monetary claim. A copy of the Landlord's decision will be accompanied by a Monetary Order for **\$650.00**. The order must be served on the respondent Tenant and is enforceable through the Provincial Court as an order of that Court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Data I No. 2012 240 0040	
Dated: November 16, 2010.	
	Dispute Resolution Officer