DECISION

<u>Dispute Codes</u> OPR, MNR, MNSD, FF

<u>Introduction</u>

This hearing dealt with an application by the landlord for an order of possession for unpaid rent, a monetary order for unpaid rent, to keep all or part of the security deposit and recovery of the filing fee. The landlord participated in the conference call hearing but the tenants did not. The landlord presented evidence that the tenants were served with the application for dispute resolution and notice of hearing by registered mail. I found that the tenants had been properly served with notice of the landlord's claim and the date and time of the hearing and the hearing proceeded in their absence.

Issues to be Decided

Is the landlord entitled to any of the above under the Act.

Summary of Background and Evidence

This tenancy started July 15, 2010 with rent of \$4300.00, the tenant paid a security deposit of \$2150.00. On December 3, 2010 the landlord served the tenant with a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent.

The landlord testified that the tenant had sublet the rental unit, taken the rent from the sub-tenants but had not paid the landlord the December rent of \$4300.00. The tenant has vacated the rental unit and the landlord entered into a tenancy agreement with the sub-let tenants. The landlord no longer requires an order of possession and this portion of the application is dismissed.

<u>Analysis</u>

Based on the documentary evidence and testimony I find that the tenant was properly served with a notice to end tenancy for non-payment of rent. The tenant did not pay the outstanding rent within 5 days of receiving the notice and did not apply for dispute resolution to dispute the notice and is therefore conclusively presumed to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the notice. Based on the above facts I find that the landlord is entitled to an order of possession.

As for the monetary order, I find that the landlord has established a claim for \$4300.00 in unpaid rent.

The landlord is also entitled to recovery of the \$50.00 filing fee.

Conclusion

I find that the landlord has established a monetary claim for \$4300.00 in unpaid rent. The landlord is also entitled to recovery of the \$50.00 filing fee. I order the landlord pursuant to s. 38(4) of the Act to keep the tenant's \$2150.00 security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim and I grant the landlord an monetary order under section 67 for the balance due of **\$2200.00** (\$4300.00+\$50.00=\$4350.00-\$2150=\$2200.00)

A monetary order in the amount of **\$2200.00** has been issued to the landlord and a copy of it must be served on the tenant. If the amount is not paid by the tenant, the Order may be filed in the Provincial (Small Claims) Court of British Columbia and enforced as an order of that court.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 10, 2011	
	Dispute Resolution Officer