



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 1

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Public Safety and Solicitor General

DECISION

Dispute Codes OPR, MNR, FF

Introduction

This hearing dealt with an Application for Dispute Resolution by the Landlord for an order of possession, a monetary order and to recover the filing fee.

Although served with the Application for Dispute Resolution and Notice of Hearing by posting on the door by the Landlord's Agent on December 21, 2010, the Tenant did not appear.

The Landlord's Agent appeared, gave affirmed testimony and was provided the opportunity to present their evidence orally and in written and documentary form, and make submissions to me.

Issue(s) to be Decided

Has the Tenant breached the Act or tenancy agreement, entitling the Landlord to an Order of Possession and monetary relief?

Background and Evidence

Based on the affirmed testimony of the Agent for the Landlord, I find that the Tenant was served with a 10 Day Notice to End Tenancy for Unpaid Rent (the "Notice") on December 8, 2010, by posting on the door. The Notice informed the Tenant that the Notice would be cancelled if the rent was paid within five days. The Notice also explains the Tenant had five days to dispute the Notice. The effective date indicated on the Notice is December 21, 2010.

The Tenant did not apply to dispute the Notice. The Landlord provided evidence and gave affirmed testimony that the Tenant had not paid all of the rent due and currently owed for August, September and October. The Landlord indicated the Tenant made a partial payment of \$150.00 on September 1, 2010.

The monthly rent is \$1,548.00 and a security deposit of \$750.00 was paid at the beginning of the tenancy.



Dispute Resolution Services

Page: 2

Residential Tenancy Branch
Ministry of Public Safety and Solicitor General

Analysis

Based on the above testimony and evidence, and on a balance of probabilities, I find as follows:

The Tenant has not paid the outstanding rent and did not apply to dispute the Notice and is therefore conclusively presumed under section 46(5) of the Act to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice.

I find that the Landlord is entitled to an order of possession effective **2 days** after service on the Tenant. This order may be filed in the Supreme Court and enforced as an order of that Court.

I allow the Landlord to amend their Application to include a claim for the January 2011 rent.

I find that the Landlord has established a total monetary claim of **\$3,096.00** comprised of unpaid rent.

I order that the Landlord retain the deposit of **\$750.00** in partial satisfaction of the claim and I grant the Landlord an order under section 67 for the balance due of **\$2,346.00**.

This order may be filed in the Provincial Court (Small Claims) and enforced as an order of that Court.

Conclusion

The Tenant failed to pay rent and did not file to dispute the Notice to End Tenancy. The Tenant is presumed under the law to have accepted that the tenancy ended on the effective date of the Notice to End Tenancy.

The Landlord is granted an Order of Possession, may keep the security deposit in partial satisfaction of the claim and is granted a monetary order for the balance due.

This decision is made on authority delegated to me by the Director of the Residential Tenancy Branch under Section 9.1(1) of the *Residential Tenancy Act*.

Dated: January 13, 2011.

Residential Tenancy Branch